### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

C07D239/47, C07D401/14, C07D405/14, C07D409/14, C07D413/14, C07D417/14, C07D403/14, A61K31/513,

Priority date (day/month/year)

26.03.2003

Applicant

PCT/JP2004/004320

MITSUBISHI PHARMA CORPORATION

1.	This opinion	contains	indications	relating to	the	following	items:
----	--------------	----------	-------------	-------------	-----	-----------	--------

☑ Box No. i Basis of the opinion

☑ Box No. II Priority

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III

☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

☑ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement

26.03.2004

☑ Box No. VI Certain documents cited

☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

#### **FURTHER ACTION** 2.

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

**European Patent Office** D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Hanisch, I

**Authorized Officer** 

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7880



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/004320

_		- N	a I. Danie of the amining				
_	Bo	X N	o. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	Wit the	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		laı	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. ty	ype	of material:				
	ſ		a sequence listing				
	{		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:						
	[		in written format				
	[		in computer readable form				
	c. time of filing/furnishing:						
	[		contained in the international application as filed.				
	[		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	[	כ	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.				
4.	. Additional comments:						

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/004320

F	Box No. II Priority								
1.  The following document has not been furnished:									
	_								
copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).									
	□ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)).								
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.								
2. [	2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.								
3. A	3. Additional observations, if necessary:								
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
1. S									
N	lovelty (N)	Yes:	Claims	1-16					
	, , ,	No:	Claims						
1,	nventive step (IS)	Yes.	Claims						
"	iveniive slep (le)	No:	Claims	1-16					
1.	ndustrial applicability	(IA) You	Claims	1-16					
10	ndustrial applicability	y (IA) Yes: No:	Claims	1-16					
		-							
2. 0	Citations and explana	ations							
s	ee separate sheet								
E	Box No. VI Certair	n documents cited	<u> </u>						
1. (	Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
	and / or								
2. N	. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)								

see form 210

### Re Item V.

Relevant prior art is provided by

(A) EP 1136482

#### **Novelty**

The current general formula (I) overlaps with the general formula of (A). However, the current pyrimidone derivatives may be seen as a novel selection of (A), thus fulfilling the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

#### Inventive Step

The problem underlying the current application appears to be the provision of further pyrimidone derivatives which inhibit tau protein kinase 1 and are thus useful for the treatment of i.a. neurodegenerative diseases.

(A) discloses a general formula of pyrimidone derivatives of the desired activity (see paragraph [0002]) which encompasses most of the current compounds. The qualitative retention of activity would therefore automatically be assumed by the skilled person. In the case of a novel selection such as the present one, an inventive step may only be acknowledged for the said selection if it provides an unexpected improvement vis-à-vis the closest prior art (e.g. example 38 of (A)). Such an unexpected effect which must be valid over the whole claimed scope appears not to be present in the application documents as originally filed. Consequently, Article 33(3) PCT is not yet satisfied.

#### Re Item VI.

- (B) WO 03027080
- (C) WO 03037888

It should be noted that the two P-documents (B) and (C) will not be regarded during the international phase. However, they appear not to be relevant for novelty since their subject-matter has been excluded from the present scope by means of the two provisos in claim 1. If they could be relevant for the assessment of inventive step depends upon whether the current application is entitled to the priority it claims.